## 地球規模の食料生産予測

### Evaluating the productivities of major crops at the global scale using processbased crop model

### 橫沢 正幸 静岡大学大学院工学研究科

櫻井 玄 (独)農業環境技術研究所





## 主要穀物の生産地域分布

トウモロコシ



# **ン**× 0.001

0.289 0.695 1.835 5.415 100.000 Harvested area fraction (%)

#### コムギ



最近の世界の異常気象



気象庁HP(http://www.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/cpd/monitor/monthly/)

アメリカの収量変重

FAOSTAT



アメリカの収量変重

FAOSTAT



## 世界の食料生産システム



## 作物の収量の正確な推定には 非常に多くの情報が必要



### アップスケーリングによる広域作物モデルの作成



### プロセスベースモデル + データ同化



プロセスベースモデルのパ ラメータ事後分布を作物収 量統計データなどのデータ を用いてベイズ推定する

多様性はパラメータ推定値 に吸収させてしまう 大規模データ





全球について気候の変動・変化の影響を評価できる プロセスベースモデルを作成する

### 数百万回のMCMC計算に耐えられるように、 高速に計算できる作物モデルを再設計・開発



1. 光合成過程は反応速度論的な PRYSBI2 モデル (Farquhar model) 2. 光合成産物の分配ルールなど、

できる限り簡略化



wheat

maize

rice

soybean

### **Model structure of PRYSBI-2**



### 生育は積算温度で決まると仮定

$$HU_{td} = \min(0, TM_{td} - tm_{base})$$





### 光合成過程は反応速度論的なモデル

#### Farquhar model

$$V_{\rm c} - 0.5V_{\rm o} = \frac{J_{t,td}(C_{\rm i} - \Gamma)}{4C_{\rm i} - 8\Gamma}, \quad V_{\rm c} - 0.5V_{\rm o} = \frac{V_{\rm cmax(t,td)}(C_{\rm i} - \Gamma)}{C_{\rm i} + K_{\rm c}\left(1 + \frac{[O_2]}{K_{\rm o}}\right)}$$



### 最大カルボキシル反応と呼吸速度の温度依存性

$$C_{\text{vemax}(t,td)} = q^{\left(\frac{TM_{t,td}-25}{10}\right)} \{1 + \exp[s_1(TM_{t,td} - s_2)]\}$$

$$C_{\text{dark}(t,td)} = q^{\left(\frac{TM_{t,td}-25}{10}\right)} \{1 + \exp[s_3(TM_{t,td} - s_4)]\}$$

### 水分ストレスはパラメータで補正



### 光合成産物の分配ルールの簡略化

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Historical changes in global yields: major cereal and legume crops from 1982 to 2006

Toshichika Iizumi<sup>1</sup>\*, Masayuki Yokozawa<sup>1</sup>, Gen Sakurai<sup>1</sup>, Maria Isabel Travasso<sup>2</sup>, Vladimir Romanernkov<sup>3</sup>, Pascal Oettli<sup>4</sup>, Terry Newby<sup>5</sup>, Yasushi Ishigooka<sup>1</sup> and Jun Furuya<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agro-Meteorology Division, National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, 3-1-3 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8604, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Clima y Agua, Instituto Nacional de Tecnologia Agropecuaria, Hurlingham, Argentina, <sup>3</sup>Department of the Geographical Network of Field Experiments, All-Russia Institute for Agrochemistry, Moscow, Russia, <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, <sup>5</sup>Institute for Soil, Climate and Water, Agricultural Research Council, Pretoria, South Africa, <sup>6</sup>Development Research Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Science, Tsukuba, Japan

#### ABSTRACT

**Aim** Recent changes in crop yields have implications for future global food security, which are likely to be affected by climate change. We developed a spatially explicit global dataset of historical yields for maize, soybean, rice and wheat to explore the historical changes in mean, year-to-year variation and annual rate of change in yields for the period 1982–2006.

**Location** This study was conducted at the global scale.

**Methods** We modelled historical and spatial patterns of yields at a grid size of 1.125° by combining global agricultural datasets related to the crop calendar and harvested area in 2000, country yield statistics and satellite-derived net primary production. Modelled yields were compared with other global datasets of yields in 2000 (M3-Crops and MapSPAM) and subnational yield statistics for 23 major crop-producing countries. Historical changes in modelled yields were then examined.

**Results** Modelled yields explained 45–81% of the spatial variation of yields in 2000 from M3-Crops and MapSPAM, with root-mean-square errors of 0.5–1.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Most correlation coefficients between modelled yield time series and subnational yield statistics for the period 1982–2006 in major crop-producing regions were greater than 0.8. Our analysis corroborated the incidence of reported yield stagnations and collapses and showed that low and mid latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere (0–40°S) experienced significantly increased year-to-year variation in maize, rice and wheat yields in 1994–2006 compared with that in 1982–93.

グリッド収量データ

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## グリッド収量データ

#### 収量時空間分布データ(1982-2006, 1.125 lon/lat)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Step 3**. Adjust FAO country yields for secondary cropping system use based on the ratio of cropland-mean NPP between major and secondary cropping systems in a country. Locations of grid cells that use each of the cropping systems were identified using Sacks et al. (2010).

P<sub>500</sub>

H<sub>500</sub>

Days

P500 H500

NPP time series

P1 H1

Days

P1 H1

**Step 4**. Multiply the ratios of NPP for cropland grid cells to the cropland-mean NPP in a country by FAO data to obtain yields for major cropping system at the grid cell level.

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Step 5**. Same as Step 4, but for FAO data adjusted for secondary cropping system to obtain yields for secondary cropping system at the grid cell level.

**Step 6**. Calculate the cropping-system-mean modelled yields (if multiple cropping systems used in a grid cell). Share of crop production by cropping system in the 1990s was obtained from U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA, 1994, 2013)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure 4** Means (upper row), coefficients of variation (CVs, middle row) and annual rates of change (bottom row) in modelled maize yields in 1982–93 (left column) and 1994–2006 (middle column), and differences in values of the statistics between the two periods (right column). Light gray indicates that no modelled yields were available due to the lack of crop calendar data. Dark gray indicates non-cropland grid cells.

### 全球収量時系列データを用いた パラメータのベイズ推定

#### Global yield data base

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### パラメータ分布の推定

technical coefficient Trend of technical coefficient Temperature sensitivity Total heat unit Leaf structure

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

DiffeRential Evolution Adaptive Metropolis (DREAM) (20 chains) を使用 Morgan et al. (2009) /JNSNS

**JAMSTEC Cluster System** 

Error structure

*Error*yield (*time*)  $\sim N(0, \sigma_{yield})$ 

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

## モデルの再現性

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

0

### 大気CO2濃度と気温の上昇が及ぼす影響

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### プラスの効果 大気CO2の濃度の増大によって光合成速度は増加する

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

CO2施肥効果 (CO2 fertilization effect)

### 気温上昇によって呼吸速度は増加する

マイナスの効果

大気CO2濃度の増加

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

大気中CO2濃度は年約3%で増加してきた

現在は400ppmを超えている

## 大豆の主要生産地域における CO2施肥効果

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

アメリカ:4.34% ブラジル:7.57% 中国:5.10% 3国平均で約5.8%増加

1980年の収量と2002年~2006年の平均収量の比 CO2濃度は約40ppm増加

## 大豆の主要生産地域における CO2施肥効果

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

乾燥している地域ほどCO2の施肥効果は大きい

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 収量に対する要因別の影響

1980年から2006年の間に世界の年平均気温は0.3°C上昇、

#### CO2濃度は40ppm上昇した(約12%)。

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **Crop Failure Forecast**

- We conducted a global overview of the reliability of crop failure forecasts for maize, rice, wheat and soybean.
- The key question posed was:

How reliable is the forecasting of crop failure at lead times that allow such information to be of value to governments and commercial concerns?

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Data and Methods (crop yield)

- Global, gridded historical yield dataset (Iizumi et al., *Global Elol. Biogeogr.*, in review)
  - covers the period 1982-2006
  - derived by aligning county yield statistics with yield proxy from satellites
- Removal of technological yield trend to derive climate-crop relationship
  - $\Delta Y_t = (Y_t Y_{t-1}) / Y_{ave}^* 100$
  - Same average yield was used for the first 3-yr of the study period
  - Popular in Agro-meteorological fields (e.g., Lobell & Field, 2007, Environ. Res. Lett.; Kucharik, 2008, Agron. J.)

![](_page_34_Figure_8.jpeg)

### Data and Methods (crop phenology)

- Global crop phenology dataset Sacks et al., 2011, Global Ecol. Biogeogr.
  - Type of cropping system
    - Maize (major/secondary)
    - Soybean (major)
    - Rice (major/secondary)
    - Wheat (winter/spring)
  - Share of production by cropping system
    - Average yield of winter wheat 2 t/ha (100t) and spring wheat 4 t/ha (500t) is not 3 t/ ha, but 3.7 t/ha
  - Specification of key growing season for each cropping system

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_11.jpeg)

Planting date (DOY)

![](_page_35_Figure_12.jpeg)

90

180

Harvest date (DOY)

270

#### Yield Predictions Based on Seasonal Climatic Forecasts

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Pre-season yield predictions employ climatic forecasts with lead time of 3-5 months and provide information on variations in yield for the coming cropping season.
- Within-season yield predictions update the pre-season predictions using climatic forecasts with lead time of 1-3 months.

### Statistical Crop Model

We developed a spatially explicit global dataset of historical yields for maize, soybean, rice, and wheat to explore the year-to-year variation in yields for the period 1982–2006.

Yearly time series of cropping and climatic data were combined to derive multiple linear regression models: first-difference time series in yield ( $\Delta Y$ ), temperature ( $\Delta T$ ) and soil water content ( $\Delta SW$ )

A multiple linear regression model was computed for each cropping system of a crop of interest:

 $\Delta Y = a\Delta T + b\Delta SW + c + \epsilon$ 

### Hindcasts with reanalysis (upper limit of skill)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Over 16% (r=.404, p<.05) of year-to-year yield variation can be explained by temperature and soil moisture alone. Such "skillful" area produces 28 to 40% of world production in 2000.

### Within-season prediction

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Skillful area of within-season prediction produces 3 to 10% of world production.
- Prediction achieved limited part of the potential…
- Amount of production produced in "skillful" area decreases as lead time increases.

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Evaluation of the Reliability of Within-Season

Rice

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

Low ← Reliability of climate forecast → High

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

 Moderate-to-marked (5% more) yield losses of rice and wheat over 18-19% of the global harvested area of the crops (correspond to 19–23% of the global production) can be reliably predicted at 3 months before the harvest using within-season prediction.

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Weighted average of yield elasticity to temperature and soil moisture (evaluated based on climatological mean values);
- Maize and soybean are water dependent while rice and wheat are more temperature dependent.

### Reliability of Wheat Predictions for Exporting

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Obs./Within-season/Pre-season

Correlation (Percentage of reliably-predicted area to total harvested area of a crop in a country)

### Remarks

 Crop failures of rice and wheat over a substantial percentage (19–23%) of the global harvested area of these crops can be reliably predicted at 3 months before the harvest.

 The percentages of harvested area (production) of the crops where crop failures of the crops are reliably predictable can increase to 30-33% (31-40%) if climatic forecasts are near perfect.

### Further Study: Nowcasting for Food Security

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Nowcasting encompasses a description of the current state of the crops and the prediction of how the crops will grow during the next stage and how much yield harvested.
- The current state of crops is updated with observations.

## 世界の食料生産予測における課題

#### 気候変動予測の不確実性

- ・短期(季節予報~数年)と長期の予測の不確実性
- ·極端現象
- ・モデルの検証と予測に必要なデータの収集

作物の環境応答の不確実性

- ・作物の高CO2応答などにおけるモデルの不確実性
- ・作物収量のポテンシャル(収量はどこまで増加するのか)
- ・極端現象に対する応答

社会システムの不確実性

- ·土地利用変化
- ・ライフスタイルの変化
- ・バイオエネルギーとの競合