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• Introduction of the SCALE-LETKF
• Verification of the 1.5-month near-real-time SCALE-LETKF results
• Case study: Typhoon Nangka (2015)
• Conclusion
• Scalable Computing for Advanced Library and Environment (SCALE; Nishizawa et al. 2015)
  – An open-source basic library for weather and climate model of the earth and planets aimed to be widely used in various models.
  – Developed by the Computational Climate Science Research Team in RIKEN AICS.

• SCALE-LES model
  – A regional mesoscale weather model designed for high-resolution simulation.
Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF)

• An ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF) data assimilation scheme.
• Flow-dependent background error covariance without the requirement of the tangent linear model and adjoint model.
• https://code.google.com/p/miyoshi/
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Near-real-time SCALE-LETKF system: Motivation

• Goals:
  – High-resolution,
  – short-term,
  – real-time rainfall prediction using SCALE-LETKF

• First test lower resolution, large domain set-up:
  – Test the performance and stability of the SCALE-LETKF.
  – Build a dataset of ensemble analyses over large domains, in preparation for the downscaling run for some cases of interest.
Tasks finished

• Development of the SCALE-LETKF for conventional (non-radiance) data assimilation.
• Automatic preparation of the near-real-time boundary data and observation data:
  – NCEP GFS 0.5-d global analyses and forecasts
  – NCEP PREPBUFR conventional observations (download from the NCEP FTP)
• Automatic submission of the K computer job and the data collection on our team servers.
• Basic tools for visualizing the real-time products.
Tasks ongoing and planned

• Test of the high-resolution (3km - 100m) data assimilation.

• Phased-array weather radar (PAR) assimilation.

• Add more comprehensive validation tools of the real-time results.
  – Online RMSE/bias/increment statistics.
  – Validation with the Japan Automated Meteorological Data Acquisition System (AMeDAS) observations.
Experimental near-real-time SCALE-LETKF
Experimental near-real-time SCALE-LETKF

- **Domain:**
  - Horizontal: 18-km resolution; 320 x 240 grids
  - Vertical: 36 levels (0 ~ 29 km)

- **50 members.**

- **6-hourly analysis cycle; 5-day forecasts from the ensemble mean.**

- **Observations:**
  - NCEP PREPBUFR conventional (non-radiance) observation data.
**Time frame**

- **GFS** PREPBUFR ready (early version observations; ~90% of the full version)

- **GDAS** PREPBUFR ready (full version observations)

- GFS analysis/forecast ready

- Real time:
  - 0:00
  - 3:20 3:40
  - 6:40
  - 7:20
  - 9:20 9:35

- **400 node-hours**
  - 9h ens forecasts (-6 ~ +3h)
  - + LETKF (0 h)

- **Plotting**

- **80 node-hours**
  - 120h forecast (0 ~ +120h) from the ens mean

(60,000 node-hours per month)
SCALE-LETKF analysis vs. GFS analysis

500 hPa height (m) [ 00Z25JUN2015 ]

---

SCALE-LETKF analysis

GFS analysis
5 day forecast of Typhoon NANGKA (201511) stating at 12:00 UTC July 12
Topics in the internship program

**My purpose:** Learning a data assimilation system of an atmospheric model

- Verification of the near-real-time analysis and forecast system
- Research on 2015 typhoon Nangka
  -- Sensitivities of the localization scales to TC track and intensity forecasts
  -- TC vital assimilation
  -- Ensemble forecasts and downscaling forecasts
Verification of the near-real-time analysis and forecast system

-> Average root-mean-square errors (RMSE) and biases over the 1.5 month period
Fig. 1  RMSE and Bias of Height (m) on 500hPa level every six hours
Period: 2015/06/01 – 2015/07/18
RMSE and Bias vs. NCEP-GFS anl

Fig. 1  RMSE and Bias of Height (m) on 500hPa level every six hours
Period: 2015/06/01 – 2015/07/18

Constantly increasing
Almost flat
Fig. 2  RMSE and Bias of U wind (m/s) on each height at forecast time = 24 hr
Period: 2015/06/01 – 2015/07/18
Fig. 2  RMSE and Bias of U wind (m/s) on each height at forecast time = 24 hr
Period: 2015/06/01 – 2015/07/18
Summary of the verification

- The results of the near-real-time analysis and forecast system with the SCALE-LETKF are reasonable
Study on 2015 typhoon Nangka

- Background of the typhoon event
- Assimilation and forecast experiments (CTL)

- Sensitivity experiments
  - Change horizontal localization parameter
  - Change vertical localization parameter
  - TC vital data assimilation
- Ensemble rainfall forecasts
- High resolution experiment
Background

- Typhoon Nangka made a landfall in Shikoku on July 16th.

Fig. 3 The track of typhoon Nangka (Reprinted from Digital typhoon web site)

Surge by typhoon Nangka (July 2015)

People waiting for train services to resume (July 2015) (report by Kobe newspaper company)
Background

- Typhoon Nangka made a landfall in Shikoku on July 16th

- Break a record of maximum daily precipitation at several spots

Surge by typhoon Nangka (July 2015)

People waiting for train services to resume (July 2015)
(report by Kobe newspaper company)
Fig. 4 Distribution of accumulated precipitation from 13L Jul 15th to 13L Jul 18th by AMeDAS (Reprinted from http://www.jma-net.go.jp/osaka/kikou/saigai/pdf/sokuhou/20150718.pdf)
Time series of the rainfall in Hyogo

Fig. 5 Time series of hourly precipitation at AMeDAS stations in Hyogo (Reprinted from http://www.jma-net.go.jp/osaka/kikou/saigai/pdf/sokuhou/20150718.pdf)
Overview of the control (CTRL) experiment
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Time integration

07/12/2015 ; 06Z

Two cycle assimilation

5/12/2015 ; 12Z
Time integration

Localization parameters : $\sigma = 400\text{km}$  
$\sigma_v = 0.3\ln p$

Five days forecast
Overview of the CTRL experiment

Time integration
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Time integration

Boundary condition from GFS analysis

Localization parameters:

\( \sigma = 400 \text{ km} \)

\( \sigma_v = 0.3 \ln \rho \)

Five days forecast
Tracks in the CTRL experiment

Fig.6 Typhoon tracks in the control run (circle) and the JMA best track data (cross).
Fig. 7  Typhoon track errors of the CTRL exp. (upper) and sea-level pressure at the center of the typhoon (lower). (black line: best track data, red line: CTRL exp.)
Fig. 8  Typhoon track errors of the CTRL exp. (upper) and minimum sea-level pressure (lower). (black line: best track data, red line: CTRL exp.)
Overview of the sensitivity experiments

- **Reference**: JMA best track data (Preliminary value)

- **Guess**: 07/12/2015, 06Z
  - Change $\sigma$ or $\sigma_V$

- **Analysis**: 07/12/2015, 00Z
  - Time integration

- **Guess**: 07/12/2015, 06Z
  - Five days forecast

- **Analysis**: (analysis)' 07/12/2015, 12Z
Impact of the horizontal localization scales

Fig. 9 Typhoon tracks in the sensitivity experiments and best track data
The time series of track errors

Fig. 10  Typhoon tracks errors of the sensitivity experiments
The time series of intensity

Fig.11   Typhoon intensity of the sensitivity experiments
Impact of the vertical localization scales

Fig. 12 Typhoon tracks in the sensitivity experiments and best track data
Fig. 13   Typhoon tracks errors of the sensitivity experiments
The time series of intensity

Fig.14  Typhoon intensity of the sensitivity experiments
Summary of the sensitivity experiments

• Horizontal
  -> Changing horizontal localization scales has little impacts on the model results
  -> The trend of the track and intensity changes using from 400-km to 800-km localization scales is not very clear

• Vertical
  -> Changing vertical localization scales has also little impacts on the model results
  -> Track and intensity using $\sigma = 400\text{km}, \sigma_v = 0.5\ln p$ is similar to the control experiment
Introduce TC vital assimilation

• Initializing a representative vortex in the correct position and of appropriate intensity remains a serious challenge (Kleist, 2011; Wu et al. 2010; Kunii, 2015)

• A strategy for vortex initialization is TC vital assimilation

• In this case, we used minimum sea-level pressure (MSLP) and the position data as a TC vital data

• Tested only one cycle at 00Z 13th July 2015
The analysis increment without TC vital data

Fig. 15 Horizontal distribution of the SLP (hPa; contour) at 00Z 13th July 2015 without TC vital data.
The analysis increment with TC vital data

Fig. 16 Horizontal distribution of the SLP (hPa; contour) at 00Z 13th July 2015 with TC vital data.
The time series of track errors

Fig. 17  Typhoon tracks errors of the sensitivity experiments

2015071300Z
The time series of sea-level pressure

Fig. 18  Typhoon intensity of the sensitivity experiments
The time series of sea-level pressure

Fig. 18  Typhoon intensity of the sensitivity experiments
Summary of the TC vital assimilation

• The TC vital assimilation helps to move the TC center towards the observed location

• It also improves the track forecasts until 24 hours
Ensemble rainfall forecasts

• Motivation -> I’d like to investigate heavy rain by the typhoon Nangka in more detail. However, the SCALE model has not been implemented in cumulus parameterization.

• Purpose -> Investigating predictability of ensemble forecasts and the rainfall event by typhoon Nangka in Kobe.
Overview of the ensemble forecasts

Carry out forecast experiment of all members

Initial date
07/13/2015 ; 00Z

16Z; Jul15 to 15Z; Jul17

48-hour rainfall

End date
07/18/2015 ; 00Z

50 ensemble members
Comparison of the result vs. obs.

Fig. 19 48-hour accumulated precipitation by JMA radar echo data (left)
48-hour accumulated precipitation in low resolution experiment (right)
Fig. 20  Tracks of the result each member, ensemble mean, and JMA best track data.
The typhoon in the SCALE model has fast bias.

The precipitation period should accelerate than that of the observation.

Fig. 21 The center position of the typhoon each member at 16Z; Jul 16th.
Distinct four members

Fig. 22 Distribution of 48-hour accumulated rainfall of four each member
Fig. 22 Probability about accumulated precipitation of getting beyond 200 [mm]
Fig. 22 Probability about accumulated precipitation of getting beyond 200 [mm]

Very low probability
High-resolution (3km) forecasts experiment

• The 50-member near-real-time SCALE-LETKF is run at 18-km resolution, which is too low for simulating the local heavy rainfall event
  - The SCALE model does not have cumulus parameterization

• We run downscaling (offline nesting) forecasts at 3-km resolution based on one best (18-km resolution) member
Domain 1
18km resolution
5760 × 4320km²

Domain 2
3km resolution
1100 × 1300km²

Fig.23 Domain 1 and Domain 2 size
Comparison between low-resolution and high-resolution model simulation

Fig. 24 48-hour accumulated precipitation of high-resolution experiment is based on member 48 (right) and that of low resolution experiment (left)

MAX: 533.9 mm

MAX: 921.0 mm
Comparison between observation and high-resolution model simulation

Fig. 25 48-hour accumulated precipitation of high-resolution experiment is based on member 48 (right) and that of observation (left)

MAX: 913.45 mm

MAX: 921.0 mm
Fig. 25 48-hour accumulated precipitation of high-resolution experiment is based on member 48 (right) and that of observation (left). The Local maximum rainfall in Kobe.
Summary of the ensemble forecasts and the high-resolution experiment

- The SCALE model can simulate the accumulated rainfall of this event reasonably well, but the local maximum rainfall near Kobe city is difficult to be predicted.

- Ensemble forecasts shows large variability of the rainfall amounts and distributions even with the small track spread.

- Probability forecast maps can be computed from the ensemble forecasts.
Summary of the ensemble forecasts and the high-resolution experiment (cont.)

- 3-km resolution forecast shows better results in both the distribution and the peak values of the accumulated rainfall
  - The rainfall peak near Kobe is better simulated in the high-resolution experiment, but still not perfect
Conclusion

- The SCALE-LETKF system operates correctly

- Changing localization parameter little impact on the model results

- A high-resolution forecast in SCALE model is able to represent a rainfall event more correctly
Thank you for your kind attention!