### Population and Climate Change: Are we sustainable?

Safa Motesharrei, Jorge Rivas Eugenia Kalnay University of Maryland

> RIKEN, Japan 6 March 2017

The talk is mostly based on two papers:

#### "HANDY model"

It was published in 2014 in the Journal of Ecological Economics. It continues to be the 3-month most downloaded paper. Before its publication it was discussed by a blogger in The Guardian of London. The blog had 40 million hits!

#### Modeling Sustainability: Population, Inequality, Consumption, and Bidirectional Coupling of the Earth and Human Systems.

Safa Motesharrei<sup>1,+,\*</sup>, Jorge Rivas<sup>2,+</sup>, Eugenia Kalnay<sup>1,+</sup>, Ghassem R. Asrar<sup>3</sup>, Antonio J. Busalacchi<sup>4</sup>, Robert F. Cahalan<sup>5,6</sup>, Mark A. Cane<sup>7</sup>, Rita R. Colwell<sup>1</sup>, Kuishuang Feng<sup>1</sup>, Rachel S. Franklin<sup>8</sup>, Klaus Hubacek<sup>1</sup>, Fernando Miralles-Wilhelm<sup>1,3</sup>, Takemasa Miyoshi<sup>9</sup>, Matthias Ruth<sup>10</sup>, Roald Sagdeev<sup>1</sup>, Adel Shirmohammadi<sup>1</sup>, Jagadish Shukla<sup>11</sup>, Jelena Srebric<sup>1</sup>, Victor M. Yakovenko<sup>1</sup>, and Ning Zeng<sup>1</sup>

#### National Science Review, Oxford University Press **OPEN ACCESS** 2

Top 1% of all papers with the same age

# Is climate change really happening?

#### The Hottest Year on Record 2015

Globally, 2015 was the warmest year in recorded history.



#### How far above or below average temperatures were in 2015

Compared with the average from 1901 to 2000

#### NASA GISTEMP

Annual Global Temperature: Difference From 20th Century Average, in °F +2.0 2016, **Probability of** Year Warmest Rank 2016 96% +1.5 2015 4% 0% others +1.0 +0.5 +0.0 -0.5 -1.0 1890 1900 1910 1920 1970 1880 1930 1940 1950 1960 1980 1990 2000 2010 January 2017 NOAA/NASA – Annual Global Analysis for 2016 5

#### What do you think?

### Population and major greenhouse gases have very similar evolution over past 10K years



The similar evolution of human population and the atmospheric concentrations of the greenhouse gases strongly suggests that population is the driver. Note the abrupt acceleration around mid-20th century, especially with the Green Revolution and the massive use of fossil fuels.

### There is a huge acceleration at ~1950, with the Green Revolution and the massive use of fossil fuels



## Climate change

Since 1800 we are burning the fossil fuels that Nature accumulated during 100's of millions of years.

By burning the accumulated carbon we <u>emit</u> CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere.

The CO2 acts like a blanket (greenhouse effect). So, the atmosphere is warming up:

Total emission=population x emission per person

Population and climate: a study at the London School of Economics

Total emission=population x emission per person

Per dollar spent, **family planning** reduces **four times** as much carbon over the next 40 years as adopting **low-carbon technologies** 



# Why was the population able to grow so fast since the 1950s?

Two reasons:

- 1) Sanitation and antibiotics (living longer)
- 2) Use of fossil fuels in agriculture starting in the 1950's:
  - fertilizers, pesticides, irrigation, mechanization (Green Revolution).
  - <u>1950 to 1984: production of grains increased by 250% and the</u> population doubled

#### Without fossil fuels population would be much smaller!

- Growth in grain production is now flattening out
- Industrial farming is destroying forests, soil, aquifers
- Urban and suburban sprawl is overrunning best farmland

This is not <u>sustainable</u>: "We are drawing down the stock of natural capital as if it was infinite" (Herman Daly)

# Example: North Korea, got cheap oil from the former Soviet Union until early 1990s



The famines in North Korea are the result of the sudden loss of access to abundant fossil fuel





### **Standard Neoclassical Economic Model**

As Herman Daly, Robert Costanza, and other scholars in the field of Ecological Economics describe,



The standard Neoclassical Economic Model does not account for:

- Inputs (resources), Outputs (pollution), Stocks of Natural Capital
- Dissipation of Energy (i.e., a Perpetual Motion Machine)
- Depletion, Destruction or Transformation of Matter

Therefore, no effects on the Earth System, and No Limits to Growth.

#### Herman Daly (UMD) introduced Ecological Economics, within the Earth System

### Realistic **Ecological** Economic Model (Herman Daly)

- Incorporates INPUTS, including <u>DEPLETION</u> of <u>SOURCES</u>
- Incorporates OUTPUTS, including <u>POLLUTION</u> of <u>SINKS</u>



### Feedbacks in an Ecological Economic Model

Of course, the OUTPUTS and the <u>filling up</u> of <u>SINKS</u>, have **feedbacks** on the Human Economy, the Quantity and Quality of the INPUTS, and the <u>depletion</u> <u>of SOURCES</u> :



### Feedbacks in an Ecological Economic Model

Before the Industrial Revolution:

The "Empty World"



Capacity of ES sources was large relative to HS inputs. HS outputs were small relative to absorption of ES sinks.

### Feedbacks in an Ecological Economic Model





Capacity of ES sources was large relative to HS inputs. HS outputs were small relative to absorption of ES sinks. Now, HS inputs and outputs are so large relative to the ES, they threaten to deplete its sources and overwhelm its sinks.

### Population x GDP per capita: Consumption The growth explosion is very recent (1950)







IPCC and IAMs DO NOT FULLY COUPLE THE HUMAN AND EARTH SYSTEMS POPULATION IS OBTAINED FROM UN PROJECTIONS!

WMO

UNEF



### Policies: Can we use nature sustainably?



The red (highest NDVI **vegetation index**) is in the province of Misiones, Argentina, that protects the forest. Compare Misiones with Brazil, Paraguay and the rest of Argentina!

### Could an advanced society like ours **collapse**?

- Collapses of many advanced societies have taken place in the last 5000 years!
- A recent study of the many collapses that took place in Europe (Neolithic, -10K to -4K) has excluded climate forcing, war, and disease as the root cause of such collapses, so that <u>it concluded</u>:
- The collapses were due to <u>overrunning the</u>
  <u>Carrying Capacity</u>
- We developed a "Human and Nature Dynamical model" (HANDY) to start understanding the nonlinear feedbacks between the Earth and the Human System.

Oscillations with Overshoots and Collapses are common in Natural Systems (like the Predator and Prey model)



## But do they occur in Human Systems?

- It is popularly believed that Human History has been a continuous and inevitable upward trend in levels of
  - population and
  - prosperity.
- However, the <u>Historical Record</u> is closer to the <u>Oscillations found in Nature</u>.
- Cycles of Rise and Collapse occurred frequently in history,
- often involving centuries of decline (population, economic, and intellectual).

### Review of Some Historical Collapses

- <u>Collapse of the Roman Empire</u>
  - Well known, but not the first rise and collapse in Europe.
- Minoan Civilization
- Mycenaean Civilization Complete and Total Collapse (in Greece, 2K BC)
  - Population dropped by an order of magnitude,
  - Urban areas abandoned,
  - Literacy completely lost
  - Recovery took 4 to 5 centuries

### History is also full of Cycles of Rise and Decline

### Mesopotamian History:

 the Sumerians, the Akkadians, Assyrians, Babylonians, Achaemenids, Seleucids, Parthians, Sassanids, Umayyads, and Abbasids.

### • Egyptian History,

- Three distinct cycles of <u>Rise And Collapse</u> in <u>Ancient Egypt</u>:
- More Cycles after Egypt was conquered by the Persians, Greeks, Romans, Arabs, Turks, and British

### <u>Chinese History</u>

- Zhou, Han, Song, Ming, & Ching Empires
- all were followed by a decline or a collapse.

### Indian History:

 Indus Valley Civilization, Mauryan Empire, Gupta Empire, A Dark Ages, Empire under Harsha. Finally by many <u>Foreign</u> <u>Conquests</u> by Arabs, Moguls, British

### Collapses Not Restricted to the "Old World"

- Collapse of <u>Maya Civilization</u> in the Yucatan
  - -One of the best-known cases
  - -Partly because of the Depth of the Collapse
    - As Diamond [2005] puts it,

"the disappearance of between 90 and 99% of the Maya population after A.D.800."

- Other Rise and Collapse Cycles in <u>Mesoamerica:</u>
- <u>Central Mexico</u>:
  - The Olmecs, The Toltecs, Teotihuacan (the sixth largest city in the world in the 7th C), Monte Alban

Many others examples from around the World:

- Mississippi Valley Cultures such as:
  - Cahokia,
  - The Hopewell Complex
- South West US Cultures such as
  - The Pueblo and
  - The Hohokam,
- Andean Civilizations such as

– Huari, Tiwanaku,

- <u>Sub-Saharan African Civilizations</u> such as Great Zimbabwe, and
- Collapses in <u>the Pacific Islands</u>,
  - Easter Island is the most well known.

Cycles also occurred in early non-stratified Neolithic Societies

- A recent study [Shennan et al., 2013] of <u>Neolithic Europe</u> found:
  - "in contrast to the steady population growth usually assumed,
  - the introduction of agriculture into Europe was followed by
  - <u>a boom-and-bust pattern in the density of</u> regional populations".
- Multiple Cycles:
  - "most regions show more than one boom-bust pattern"

### Neolithic Population (all of Western Europe)



#### The European Medieval Demographic Collapse:

Population of Medieval England



data from Broadberry et al 2010, English Medieval Population

These relatively precise estimates provides us with a good example of a rise and collapse cycle.

### In sum:

Cycles of rise and collapse are common across different Regions, Time Periods, and levels of Technological Development

- Tainter [1988]
  - The "picture that emerges is of a process recurrent in history, and global in its distribution"
- Turchin and Nefedov [2009]:
  - "demographic-social-political oscillations of a very long period (centuries long) are <u>the rule, rather than</u> <u>an exception</u>..."

### Human and Nature Dynamics Model (HANDY)

We built a <u>Human Population Dynamics Model</u> by starting with a <u>Standard Population Model In Biology</u> ("predator–prey"),

### And adding two **Properties** found in **Human Populations**:

- (1) Accumulated Surplus (wealth) and
- (2) <u>Economic Inequality</u>

to **investigate Potential Mechanisms** that can **explain these cycles** found in the historical record.

# Human and Nature Dynamical model (HANDY) with Rich and Poor: for thought experiments

Just 4 equations!

Total population: Elite + Commoners  $x = x_E + x_C$ Nature equation: (only the Commoners produce)

 $\dot{y}$  = Regeneration  $\gamma y(\lambda - y)$  – Production  $\delta x_C y$ 

Wealth is managed by the Elites. Inequality factor  $\kappa \sim 100$ 

 $\dot{W}$  = Production-Commoner consumption-Elite consumption =  $\delta x_C y - s x_C - \kappa s x_E$ 



The **rich Elite** accumulates wealth from the work of everyone else (here referred to as the **Commoners**). When there is a crisis (e.g., famine) the Elite can spend their accumulated wealth to buy food and survive longer.

### State Variables (Stocks) and Flows in HANDY1



### Experiments for an Egalitarian Society (K=1)



With optimal depletion an egalitarian society reaches equilibrium at the maximum Carrying Capacity

What happens if we increase the depletion per capita?

### Experiments for an Egalitarian Society (K=1)



High depletion rate leads to collapse: nature cannot regrow

## What happens if we introduce Inequality? Optimal depletion, but K=100



Up until t = 500, both scenarios show the exact same evolution

### An otherwise *sustainable* society will collapse if there is high inequality ( $\kappa = 100$ ).



### An otherwise *sustainable* society will collapse if there is high inequality ( $\kappa = 100$ ).



What happens if we have *both* high inequality and high depletion rate?

### Typical Collapse: High Depletion Rates and High Inequality at the same time



Is there any hope for an unequal society to survive?

Yes! If we reduce the *depletion per capita* and *inequality*, and slow down the *population growth*, it is possible to reach a steady state and survive well.



Reaching this equilibrium requires **changes in policies:** 

- Reduce depletion per capita
- Reduce inequality ( $\kappa = 10$ ) (as estimated by Daly)
- Reduce birth rates

# Could a collapse be prevented if we "find" large stocks of Nonrenewable Energy?



What happens when we add fossil fuels?

This is the classic HANDY1 full collapse scenario, with only regenerating Nature We add to the regenerating Nature a nonrenewable Nature

### Impact of adding fossil fuels (nonrenewable energy resources)

80K





Regenerating Nature Only

Both Regenerating and Nonrenewable Resources

The collapse is postponed by ~200 years and the peak population increases by a factor of ~20! Reminiscent of the Industrial Revolution!

### Population and GDP per capita: The total consumption is their product



## Non-Renewables Expanded the Carrying Capacity:

- Fossil Fuels are Stocks of <u>Energy</u> and <u>Material Resources</u> accumulated
- over several hundreds of millions years.
- We are consuming those stocks in ~ 3 centuries.
- A similar dynamic is taking place with <u>Aquifer Water</u>. In just a few decades, we are drawing down vast stores of <u>fresh water</u> from aquifers that take centuries or millennia to recharge.

### Impact of adding fossil fuels (nonrenewable energy resources)

80K





Regenerating Nature Only

Both Regenerating and Nonrenewable Resources

The collapse is postponed by ~200 years and the peak population increases by a factor of ~20! Reminiscent of the Industrial Revolution!

### COupled WAter (COWA) model for the Phoenix watershed: validation 1900-2015

Very simple: If there is enough Water per capita, Population continues growing by immigration and births. If water is not enough, population migrates out or has a greater death rate. COWA reproduces well the obs.



### **COupled WAter (COWA) model for the Phoenix watershed: Integration to 2100**

The **Census Bureau**, which like the UN, IPCC and IAMs, does not couple with feedbacks the human system, projects 45 million people. Our COWA, (dashed) predicts about 10 million.



**Decoupled vs. Coupled Stocks** 

Can we survive? Yes! (but only if we live sustainably!)



Carrying capacity: the population that nature can sustain forever.

If we use nature in a sustainable way, and consume only as much as nature can regrow, we can reach a good state of equilibrium We need to replace fossil fuels with renewables

- We are using up in 200+ years the fossil fuels that nature accumulated over 100's millions of years. Same with fossil water
- The use of fossil fuels for agriculture increased food production and population after 1950.
- HANDY I "thought experiments" show that reducing:
  - 1. Social inequality
  - 2. Population growth
  - 3. Depletion per capita allow society to become sustainable.
- HANDY II: Adding non-renewables
  - 1. Increases maximum population by ~20 times.
  - 2. Postpones collapse by about 200-300 years
  - 3. If the transition from fossil to renewables (solar and winds) is done early enough, it is possible to avoid the collapse.

- We need to couple them to provide feedbacks!
- Census projects Phoenix population at 2100 to be 45M!

- We are using up in 200+ years the fossil fuels that nature accumulated over 100's millions of years. Same with fossil water
- The use of fossil fuels for agriculture increased food production and population after 1950.
- HANDY I "thought experiments" show that **reducing**:
  - 1. Social inequality
  - 2. Population growth
  - 3. Depletion per capita allow society to become sustainable.
- HANDY II: Adding non-renewables
  - 1. Increases maximum population by ~20 times.
  - 2. Postpones collapse by about 200-300 years
  - 3. If the transition from fossil to renewables (solar and winds) is done early enough, it is possible to avoid the collapse.

- We need to couple them to provide feedbacks!
- Census projects Phoenix population at 2100 to be145M!

- We are using up in 200+ years the fossil fuels that nature accumulated over 100's millions of years. Same with fossil water
- The use of fossil fuels for agriculture increased food production and population after 1950.
- HANDY I "thought experiments" show that <u>reducing</u>:
  - 1. Social inequality
  - 2. Population growth
  - 3. Depletion per capita allow society to become sustainable.
- HANDY II: Adding non-renewables
  - 1. Increases maximum population by ~20 times.
  - 2. Postpones collapse by about 200-300 years
  - 3. If the transition from fossil to renewables (solar and winds) is done early enough, **it is possible to avoid the collapse**.

- We need to couple them to provide feedbacks!
- Census projects Phoenix population at 2100 to be245M!

- We are using up in 200+ years the fossil fuels that nature accumulated over millions of years. Same with fossil water.
- The use of fossil fuels for agriculture increased food production and population after 1950.
- HANDY I "thought experiments" show that reducing:
  - 1. Social inequality
  - 2. Population growth
  - 3. Depletion per capita allow society to become sustainable.
- HANDY II: Adding non-renewables
  - 1. Increases maximum population by ~20 times.
  - 2. Postpones collapse by about 200-300 years
  - 3. If the transition from fossil to renewables (solar and winds) is done early enough, it is possible to avoid the collapse.

- We need to couple them to provide feedbacks!
- Census projects Phoenix population at 2100 to be<sup>3</sup>45M!

Google "HANDY model" It was published in 2014 in the Journal of Ecological Economics. It continues to be the 3-month most downloaded paper. Before its publication it was discussed by a blogger in The Guardian of London. The blog had 40 million hits!

Paper just came out in National Science Reviews (Open Access): Modeling Sustainability: Population, Inequality, Consumption, and Bidirectional Coupling of the Earth and Human Systems. Manuscripts submitted to National Science Review

#### OXFORD Natio

National Science Review

#### Modeling Sustainability: Population, Inequality, Consumption, and Bidirectional Coupling of the Earth and Human Systems.

Journal:	National Science Review
Manuscript ID	NSR_MS-2016-058.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Motesharrei, Safa; University of Maryland, Institute of Physical Science and Technology Rivas, Jorge; Institute for Global Environment and Society, IGES Kalnay, Eugenia; University of Maryland, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Asrar, Ghassem; Joint Global Change Research Institute, JGCRI Busalacchi, Antonio; University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, UCAR Cahalan, Robert; Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Applied Physics Laboratory Cane, Mark; Columbia University, Earth and Environmental Sciences Colwell, Rita; University of Maryland, Geographical Sciences Freng, Kuishuang; University of Maryland, Geographical Sciences Franklin, Rachel; Brown University, Spatial Structures in the Social Sciences (S4) / Population Studies and Training Center (PSTC) Hubacek, Klaus; University of Maryland, Geographical Sciences Miralles-Wilhelm, Fernando; University of Maryland, Geographical Sciences Miralles-Wilhelm, Fernando; University of Maryland, Geographical Sciences Miralles-Wilhelm, Fernando; University of Maryland, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science; Joint Global Change Research Institute, JGCRI Miyoshi, Takemasa; INKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science, Data Assimilation Research Team Ruth, Matthias; Northeastern University, School of Public Policy and Urban Affairs Sagdeev, Roald; University of Maryland, Physics Shirmohammadi, Adel; University of Maryland, Environmental Science and Technology Shukla, Jagadish; George Mason University, Climate Dynamics Srebric, Jelena; University of Maryland, Mechanical Engineering Yakovenko, Victor; University of Maryland, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science
Subject Categories:	MULTIDISCIPLINARY
Keywords:	Earth and Human System Models, Population, Migration, and Inequality, Data Assimilation, Bidirectional Couplings and Feedbacks, Sustainability
Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to	

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/nsr\_ms